2011 Cambridge City Council - Final Official Election Results

City Council Candidate	1st Count	2nd Count		3rd Count		4th Count		5th Count		6th Count		7th Count		8th Count	
Cheung, Leland	2017	-432	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585
Toomey, Tim	1705	0	1705	-120	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585
Maher, David	1686	0	1686	0	1686	-101	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585
Davis, Henrietta	1436	91	1527	16	1543	12	1555	1	1556	2	1558	2	1560	6	1566
Simmons, Denise	1266	37	1303	21	1324	11	1335	0	1335	5	1340	5	1345	9	1354
Decker, Marjorie	1123	47	1170	14	1184	26	1210	1	1211	2	1213	2	1215	9	1224
Kelley, Craig	1098	51	1149	9	1158	10	1168	1	1169	3	1172	6	1178	8	1186
vanBeuzekom, Minka	1038	56	1094	2	1096	4	1100	1	1101	5	1106	2	1108	17	1125
Reeves, Kenneth	1013	28	1041	13	1054	6	1060	1	1061	4	1065	4	1069	12	1081
Ward, Larry	835	19	854	12	866	11	877	0	877	1	878	5	883	13	896
Seidel, Sam	788	48	836	5	841	8	849	0	849	3	852	1	853	2	855
Nelson, Matt	542	12	554	10	564	2	566	1	567	4	571	4	575	10	585
Marquardt, Charles	501	19	520	8	528	3	531	1	532	4	536	3	539	16	555
Stohlman, Tom	344	16	360	2	362	3	365	1	366	3	369	10	379	12	391
Williamson, James	175	1	176	3	179	1	180	1	181	2	183	6	189	7	196
Mello, Gary	135	4	139	2	141	1	142	0	142	6	148	6	154	-154	0
Pascual, Jamake	63	1	64	0	64	2	66	0	66	1	67	-67	0	0	0
Moree, Gregg	56	2	58	3	61	1	62	0	62	-62	0	0	0	0	0
write-ins	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
exhausted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	17	32	11	43	33	76
Total valid ballots	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845
Quota = 1585															
Invalid ballots	126														
Total ballots	15971														

2011 Cambridge City Council - Final Official Election Results

City Council Candidate	9th Count		10th Count		11th Count		12th Count		13th Count		14th Count		order elected
Cheung, Leland	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	1
Toomey, Tim	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	2
Maher, David	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	3
Davis, Henrietta	19	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	0	1585	4
Simmons, Denise	17	1371	24	1395	24	1419	69	1488	97	1585	0	1585	5
Decker, Marjorie	12	1236	39	1275	35	1310	79	1389	190	1579	6	1585	7
Kelley, Craig	10	1196	53	1249	139	1388	45	1433	152	1585	0	1585	6
vanBeuzekom, Minka	30	1155	95	1250	65	1315	97	1412	163	1575	10	1585	8
Reeves, Kenneth	9	1090	17	1107	25	1132	54	1186	102	1288	297	1585	9
Ward, Larry	25	921	26	947	53	1000	123	1123	106	1229	-1229	0	
Seidel, Sam	12	867	52	919	37	956	84	1040	-1040	0	0	0	
Nelson, Matt	11	596	18	614	65	679	-679	0	0	0	0	0	
Marquardt, Charles	8	563	25	588	-588	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stohlman, Tom	20	411	-411	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Williamson, James	-196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mello, Gary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pascual, Jamake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Moree, Gregg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
write-ins	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
exhausted	23	99	62	161	145	306	128	434	230	664	916	1580	
Total valid ballots	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	0	15845	
Quota = 1585													
Invalid ballots													
Total ballots													

2011 Cambridge School Committee - Official Final Election Results

School Committee Candidate	1st Count	Fantini surplus 2nd Count		under-50 transfer 3rd Count		Stead transfer 4th Count		Forster transfer 5th Count		Holland transfer 6th Count		Gerber transfer 7th Count		Tauber transfer 8th Count		order elected
Fantini, Fred	2372	-187	2185	0	2185	0	2185	0	2185	0	2185	0	2185	0	2185	1
Nolan, Patty	2126	20	2146	2	2148	11	2159	26	2185	0	2185	0	2185	0	2185	2
Turkel, Alice	2112	21	2133	1	2134	25	2159	23	2182	3	2185	0	2185	0	2185	3
Osborne, Mervan	2065	9	2074	2	2076	36	2112	41	2153	32	2185	0	2185	0	2185	4
Harding, Richard	1851	42	1893	2	1895	75	1970	20	1990	41	2031	107	2138	47	2185	5
McGovern, Marc	1771	30	1801	2	1803	24	1827	33	1860	80	1940	92	2032	153	2185	6
Tauber, Nancy	1490	19	1509	0	1509	30	1539	22	1561	59	1620	142	1762	-1762	0	
Gerber, Joyce	434	7	441	1	442	12	454	115	569	72	641	-641	0	0	0	
Holland, John	388	18	406	1	407	9	416	32	448	-448	0	0	0	0	0	
Forster, Bill	330	12	342	2	344	21	365	-365	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stead, Charles Sr.	293	9	302	2	304	-304	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
write-ins	58	0	58	-58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
exhausted	0	0	0	43	43	61	104	53	157	161	318	300	618	1562	2180	
Total valid ballots	15290	0	15290	0	15290	0	15290	0	15290	0	15290	0	15290	0	15290	
Quota = 2185																
Invalid ballots	614															
Total ballots	15904															

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com

2011 Cambridge City Council Election - Distribution of #1 Votes by Ward and Precinct (Official Final)

Ward	Pct	Cheung	Davis	Decker	Kelley	Maher	Marquardt	Mello	Moree	Nelson	Pascual	Reeves	Seidel	Simmons	Stohlman	Toomey	vanBeuzekom	Ward	Williamson	write-ins	Valid	Invalid	Total	Ward Total
1	**1**	51	19	17	33	25	32	1	2	12	1	15	12	26	13	214	25	10	3	1	**512**	3	**515**	
1	**2**	35	8	7	19	26	17	5	3	17	2	11	9	23	4	340	15	10	4	1	**556**	1	**557**	
1	**3**	25	12	11	13	33	22	6	3	10	2	13	3	54	5	326	9	12	8	3	**570**	3	**573**	**1645**
2	**1**	32	14	20	16	9	6	4	4	24	3	104	11	125	4	42	44	52	2	1	**517**	3	**520**	
2	**2**	15	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	1	0	**30**	0	**30**	
2	**3**	12	7	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	2	3	2	0	**39**	0	**39**	**589**
3	**1**	38	12	11	12	12	17	2	0	21	1	21	6	18	3	244	25	13	1	0	**457**	2	**459**	
3	**2**	60	16	10	14	19	6	2	1	20	0	42	14	63	1	75	40	17	8	1	**409**	8	**417**	
3	**3**	25	19	21	5	8	2	9	0	12	1	36	7	55	6	15	76	34	8	2	**341**	3	**344**	**1220**
4	**1**	68	41	42	31	15	7	8	2	15	1	123	15	57	4	21	37	80	5	2	**574**	3	**577**	
4	**2**	90	48	42	14	24	16	27	3	22	5	36	46	53	12	11	65	19	8	0	**541**	4	**545**	
4	**3**	20	14	19	9	10	3	4	0	5	0	23	4	11	4	6	9	23	1	0	**165**	0	**165**	**1287**
5	**1**	81	64	36	18	21	11	8	2	14	3	55	26	74	5	20	50	37	12	1	**538**	11	**549**	
5	**2**	64	80	75	37	26	6	1	0	29	9	61	12	78	11	11	37	65	2	0	**604**	14	**618**	
5	**3**	65	203	42	24	19	8	2	0	27	3	29	13	66	7	39	49	38	3	2	**639**	7	**646**	**1813**
6	**1**	89	30	23	19	21	28	2	0	13	0	27	96	31	6	57	58	50	6	3	**559**	6	**565**	
6	**2**	68	45	30	24	31	19	5	1	11	1	48	26	27	7	13	31	14	4	1	**406**	2	**408**	
6	**3**	59	49	35	37	28	78	6	0	31	1	29	77	20	5	33	56	20	6	1	**571**	8	**579**	**1552**
7	**1**	70	80	67	71	12	10	0	1	41	3	17	59	17	7	3	54	12	7	0	**531**	0	**531**	
7	**2**	24	20	12	13	14	1	1	0	4	2	5	20	6	4	0	24	7	3	0	**160**	4	**164**	
7	**3**	10	10	1	7	9	5	1	0	2	1	10	6	9	3	1	8	4	1	0	**88**	0	**88**	**783**
8	**1**	77	28	21	17	17	3	0	0	6	0	9	48	9	11	2	11	8	0	0	**267**	2	**269**	
8	**2**	96	108	32	30	48	17	2	0	8	1	13	34	16	51	10	24	33	1	0	**524**	4	**528**	
8	**3**	12	9	4	4	2	5	0	3	0	1	6	7	5	1	4	3	2	0	1	**69**	0	**69**	**866**
9	**1**	107	106	62	39	212	18	2	0	13	0	25	31	16	47	11	57	40	1	1	**788**	9	**797**	
9	**2**	115	56	53	34	259	15	7	2	22	3	35	24	29	21	29	40	39	10	0	**793**	8	**801**	
9	**3**	75	60	55	51	209	10	3	3	31	0	27	17	59	18	56	23	32	5	0	**734**	4	**738**	**2336**
10	**1**	107	61	88	113	78	18	2	0	25	6	26	30	45	13	15	53	20	7	0	**707**	3	**710**	
10	**2**	136	81	89	62	79	21	4	4	15	4	14	81	28	35	8	45	23	10	0	**739**	2	**741**	
10	**3**	68	31	40	41	34	5	5	4	18	1	57	13	72	6	13	5	24	5	0	**442**	2	**444**	**1895**
11	**1**	21	8	28	4	36	6	3	2	7	4	46	7	69	4	12	4	15	28	0	**304**	3	**307**	
11	**2**	99	46	50	164	155	25	4	15	34	2	15	12	46	11	30	28	46	6	1	**789**	3	**792**	
11	**3**	103	51	78	121	193	64	4	0	33	2	34	21	55	11	44	30	29	7	2	**882**	4	**886**	**1985**
Citywide		**2017**	**1436**	**1123**	**1098**	**1686**	**501**	**135**	**56**	**542**	**63**	**1013**	**788**	**1266**	**344**	**1705**	**1038**	**835**	**175**	**24**	**15845**	**126**	**15971**	

Note: Of the 126 Invalid ballots, 82 were blank ballots.

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com

2011 Cambridge School Committee Election
Distribution of #1 Votes by Ward and Precinct (Official Final)

Ward	Pct	Fantini	Forster	Gerber	Harding	Holland	McGovern	Nolan	Osborne	Stead	Tauber	Turkel	Write-in	Valid	Invalid	Total	Ward
1	**1**	193	9	7	56	22	40	60	45	7	21	31	3	**494**	19	**513**	
1	**2**	265	9	9	35	9	54	43	41	8	13	46	3	**535**	20	**555**	
1	**3**	226	17	7	58	20	80	39	30	14	16	39	7	**553**	19	**572**	**1640**
2	**1**	27	3	8	290	6	28	32	36	25	22	30	2	**509**	15	**524**	
2	**2**	0	4	0	3	0	2	4	3	0	3	4	1	**24**	5	**29**	
2	**3**	3	2	1	2	1	2	6	4	1	1	10	0	**33**	6	**39**	**592**
3	**1**	221	6	8	62	10	25	21	36	7	14	31	2	**443**	13	**456**	
3	**2**	67	12	7	64	6	43	42	49	4	23	64	3	**384**	35	**419**	
3	**3**	20	6	6	99	8	30	36	47	6	20	48	2	**328**	16	**344**	**1219**
4	**1**	23	5	10	177	10	58	52	54	28	55	83	0	**555**	22	**577**	
4	**2**	33	13	10	42	9	109	80	63	9	54	73	3	**498**	42	**540**	
4	**3**	9	6	3	32	3	27	11	17	6	13	28	1	**156**	10	**166**	**1283**
5	**1**	47	8	11	74	8	74	42	84	11	65	92	2	**518**	29	**547**	
5	**2**	37	6	9	121	6	96	43	74	17	55	128	1	**593**	19	**612**	
5	**3**	61	18	6	42	4	91	55	101	16	105	129	1	**629**	13	**642**	**1801**
6	**1**	92	10	12	33	2	68	78	82	10	66	76	5	**534**	28	**562**	
6	**2**	35	11	10	27	2	55	73	64	9	42	61	2	**391**	12	**403**	
6	**3**	83	15	13	38	7	68	81	44	10	62	102	0	**523**	52	**575**	**1540**
7	**1**	19	5	8	33	6	89	88	81	5	45	125	3	**507**	22	**529**	
7	**2**	4	3	3	9	2	18	29	22	1	19	40	2	**152**	11	**163**	
7	**3**	4	3	1	5	0	13	14	6	0	7	29	0	**82**	6	**88**	**780**
8	**1**	8	6	3	5	1	24	56	62	2	34	51	1	**253**	17	**270**	
8	**2**	18	8	10	17	7	45	104	167	3	54	76	0	**509**	16	**525**	
8	**3**	3	4	0	4	0	4	10	14	0	10	17	1	**67**	0	**67**	**862**
9	**1**	63	16	11	17	7	118	160	218	3	81	76	2	**772**	21	**793**	
9	**2**	148	36	16	67	69	76	149	61	6	92	65	1	**786**	14	**800**	
9	**3**	134	12	8	59	61	79	98	62	6	147	62	1	**729**	10	**739**	**2332**
10	**1**	64	17	51	49	5	53	135	114	14	67	116	0	**685**	24	**709**	
10	**2**	48	7	14	33	11	71	151	136	6	93	138	2	**710**	24	**734**	
10	**3**	25	13	13	79	10	44	61	61	16	36	59	0	**417**	23	**440**	**1883**
11	**1**	22	8	20	97	15	37	31	18	13	24	13	0	**298**	3	**301**	
11	**2**	158	14	91	60	25	76	112	83	15	58	74	6	**772**	13	**785**	
11	**3**	212	18	48	62	36	74	130	86	15	73	96	1	**851**	35	**886**	**1972**
Citywide		**2372**	**330**	**434**	**1851**	**388**	**1771**	**2126**	**2065**	**293**	**1490**	**2112**	**58**	**15290**	**614**	**15904**	
											Note: Of the 614 Invalid ballots, 577 were blank ballots.						

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com

Distribution of #2 votes behind each candidate's #1 votes - 2011 Cambridge City Council Election

Cheung			Davis			Decker			Kelley			Maher			Marquardt		
Davis	349	17.3%	Cheung	301	21.0%	Davis	201	17.9%	Cheung	232	21.1%	Toomey	378	22.4%	Kelley	87	17.4%
vanBeuzekom	214	10.6%	Decker	255	17.8%	Cheung	179	15.9%	Davis	113	10.3%	Cheung	212	12.6%	bullets	61	12.2%
Decker	202	10.0%	Simmons	136	9.5%	Simmons	148	13.2%	vanBeuzekom	109	9.9%	bullets	175	10.4%	Cheung	48	9.6%
Maher	177	8.8%	Seidel	118	8.2%	Maher	109	9.7%	Seidel	108	9.8%	Decker	154	9.1%	Maher	46	9.2%
Seidel	173	8.6%	vanBeuzekom	117	8.1%	Reeves	105	9.3%	Maher	93	8.5%	Davis	127	7.5%	Mello	45	9.0%
Kelley	151	7.5%	Maher	101	7.0%	bullets	73	6.5%	Decker	67	6.1%	Simmons	108	6.4%	Toomey	43	8.6%
Simmons	143	7.1%	Kelley	86	6.0%	Kelley	63	5.6%	Marquardt	67	6.1%	Kelley	107	6.3%	Stohlman	26	5.2%
bullets	122	6.0%	bullets	71	4.9%	Seidel	53	4.7%	Simmons	62	5.6%	Ward	93	5.5%	vanBeuzekom	26	5.2%
Toomey	111	5.5%	Reeves	57	4.0%	Toomey	53	4.7%	bullets	61	5.6%	Reeves	86	5.1%	Ward	25	5.0%
Reeves	102	5.1%	Ward	56	3.9%	vanBeuzekom	53	4.7%	Ward	50	4.6%	Seidel	78	4.6%	Nelson	17	3.4%
Ward	65	3.2%	Nelson	44	3.1%	Ward	32	2.8%	Reeves	38	3.5%	vanBeuzekom	45	2.7%	Seidel	16	3.2%
Stohlman	64	3.2%	Toomey	37	2.6%	Nelson	22	2.0%	Toomey	34	3.1%	Marquardt	42	2.5%	Decker	11	2.2%
Marquardt	56	2.8%	Stohlman	20	1.4%	Stohlman	10	0.9%	Stohlman	25	2.3%	Nelson	21	1.2%	Davis	10	2.0%
Nelson	44	2.2%	Marquardt	18	1.3%	Marquardt	6	0.5%	Nelson	16	1.5%	Stohlman	21	1.2%	Moree	10	2.0%
Mello	15	0.7%	Williamson	9	0.6%	Mello	6	0.5%	Williamson	8	0.7%	Mello	10	0.6%	Reeves	8	1.6%
Williamson	14	0.7%	Moree	4	0.3%	Pascual	5	0.4%	Mello	7	0.6%	Williamson	10	0.6%	Simmons	8	1.6%
Total	2017	100%	Total	1436	100%	Total	1123	100%	Total	1098	100%	Total	1686	100%	Total	501	100%

Mello			Moree			Nelson			Pascual			Reeves			Seidel		
bullets	18	13.3%	bullets	9	16.1%	Cheung	77	14.2%	Stohlman	9	14.3%	Simmons	343	33.9%	Cheung	151	19.2%
Cheung	13	9.6%	Maher	6	10.7%	Davis	68	12.5%	bullets	9	14.3%	bullets	120	11.8%	Davis	118	15.0%
Marquardt	11	8.1%	Mello	6	10.7%	Ward	59	10.9%	Mello	6	9.5%	Cheung	82	8.1%	Simmons	67	8.5%
Moree	11	8.1%	Toomey	6	10.7%	Toomey	45	8.3%	Williamson	6	9.5%	Decker	82	8.1%	Kelley	62	7.9%
Pascual	10	7.4%	Cheung	4	7.1%	Maher	43	7.9%	Simmons	5	7.9%	Seidel	74	7.3%	Maher	62	7.9%
Reeves	9	6.7%	Reeves	4	7.1%	bullets	33	6.1%	Kelley	4	6.3%	Ward	69	6.8%	Decker	58	7.4%
Kelley	8	5.9%	Marquardt	3	5.4%	Decker	32	5.9%	Ward	4	6.3%	Toomey	60	5.9%	bullets	55	7.0%
Decker	7	5.2%	Nelson	3	5.4%	Seidel	31	5.7%	Marquardt	3	4.8%	Maher	53	5.2%	vanBeuzekom	54	6.9%
Maher	7	5.2%	Simmons	3	5.4%	Simmons	31	5.7%	Nelson	3	4.8%	Davis	51	5.0%	Ward	40	5.1%
Stohlman	7	5.2%	vanBeuzekom	3	5.4%	vanBeuzekom	31	5.7%	Toomey	3	4.8%	Kelley	25	2.5%	Reeves	37	4.7%
vanBeuzekom	7	5.2%	Kelley	2	3.6%	Kelley	22	4.1%	Cheung	2	3.2%	vanBeuzekom	18	1.8%	Toomey	24	3.0%
Toomey	6	4.4%	Seidel	2	3.6%	Reeves	22	4.1%	Decker	2	3.2%	Nelson	13	1.3%	Stohlman	21	2.7%
Ward	6	4.4%	Stohlman	2	3.6%	Pascual	15	2.8%	Reeves	2	3.2%	Marquardt	7	0.7%	Nelson	18	2.3%
Williamson	4	3.0%	Williamson	2	3.6%	Stohlman	10	1.8%	vanBeuzekom	2	3.2%	Stohlman	6	0.6%	Marquardt	15	1.9%
Total	135	100%	Total	56	100%	Total	542	100%	Total	63	100%	Total	1013	100%	Total	788	100%

Simmons			Stohlman			Toomey			vanBeuzekom			Ward			Williamson		
Reeves	307	24.2%	Cheung	65	18.9%	Maher	371	21.8%	Cheung	263	25.3%	Simmons	122	14.6%	vanBeuzekom	22	12.6%
Cheung	142	11.2%	vanBeuzekom	55	16.0%	bullets	350	20.5%	Davis	133	12.8%	bullets	100	12.0%	Cheung	18	10.3%
bullets	138	10.9%	Davis	44	12.8%	Cheung	216	12.7%	Kelley	89	8.6%	Cheung	88	10.5%	Ward	16	9.1%
Davis	117	9.2%	Kelley	31	9.0%	Simmons	131	7.7%	Stohlman	70	6.7%	Reeves	88	10.5%	Simmons	14	8.0%
Decker	115	9.1%	Seidel	30	8.7%	Reeves	101	5.9%	Seidel	66	6.4%	Davis	68	8.1%	bullets	12	6.9%
Ward	106	8.4%	bullets	22	6.4%	Decker	99	5.8%	Ward	61	5.9%	vanBeuzekom	63	7.5%	Davis	11	6.3%
Toomey	93	7.3%	Ward	16	4.7%	Marquardt	78	4.6%	Simmons	54	5.2%	Decker	56	6.7%	Decker	9	5.1%
Maher	76	6.0%	Simmons	13	3.8%	Davis	68	4.0%	bullets	50	4.8%	Maher	51	6.1%	Mello	9	5.1%
vanBeuzekom	51	4.0%	Decker	11	3.2%	Kelley	65	3.8%	Decker	43	4.1%	Kelley	43	5.1%	Seidel	9	5.1%
Seidel	38	3.0%	Marquardt	11	3.2%	Ward	58	3.4%	Marquardt	38	3.7%	Seidel	35	4.2%	Stohlman	9	5.1%
Kelley	30	2.4%	Maher	9	2.6%	Nelson	45	2.6%	Reeves	37	3.6%	Nelson	34	4.1%	Marquardt	7	4.0%
Stohlman	19	1.5%	Reeves	9	2.6%	vanBeuzekom	37	2.2%	Nelson	33	3.2%	Toomey	31	3.7%	Nelson	7	4.0%
Williamson	11	0.9%	Nelson	8	2.3%	Seidel	22	1.3%	Maher	27	2.6%	Williamson	17	2.0%	Maher	6	3.4%
Nelson	10	0.8%	Toomey	8	2.3%	Stohlman	17	1.0%	Toomey	26	2.5%	Stohlman	14	1.7%	Pascual	6	3.4%
Pascual	5	0.4%	Mello	6	1.7%	Mello	16	0.9%	Williamson	24	2.3%	Marquardt	10	1.2%	Kelley	5	2.9%
Mello	4	0.3%	Pascual	3	0.9%	Moree	15	0.9%	Mello	16	1.5%	Moree	10	1.2%	Reeves	5	2.9%
Total	1266	100%	Total	344	100%	Total	1705	100%	Total	1038	100%	Total	835	100%	Total	175	100%

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com

Distribution of #2 votes behind each candidate's #1 votes - 2011 Cambridge School Committee Election

Fantini			Forster			Gerber			Harding			Holland			McGovern		
bullets	639	26.9%	Gerber	96	29.1%	Nolan	61	14.1%	bullets	394	21.3%	Fantini	84	21.6%	Nolan	335	18.9%
Harding	389	16.4%	bullets	34	10.3%	bullets	50	11.5%	McGovern	297	16.0%	bullets	60	15.5%	Turkel	299	16.9%
McGovern	335	14.1%	Fantini	29	8.8%	Forster	48	11.1%	Osborne	215	11.6%	McGovern	38	9.8%	Tauber	267	15.1%
Turkel	186	7.8%	McGovern	29	8.8%	Harding	47	10.8%	Fantini	208	11.2%	Nolan	36	9.3%	bullets	230	13.0%
Tauber	166	7.0%	Nolan	26	7.9%	Tauber	47	10.8%	Stead	189	10.2%	Osborne	35	9.0%	Harding	192	10.8%
Holland	157	6.6%	Osborne	26	7.9%	Osborne	42	9.7%	Turkel	170	9.2%	Tauber	34	8.8%	Fantini	166	9.4%
Nolan	151	6.4%	Stead	19	5.8%	McGovern	38	8.8%	Nolan	136	7.3%	Gerber	27	7.0%	Osborne	145	8.2%
Forster	114	4.8%	Tauber	19	5.8%	Turkel	32	7.4%	Tauber	124	6.7%	Forster	23	5.9%	Gerber	42	2.4%
Stead	80	3.4%	Harding	18	5.5%	Holland	30	6.9%	Holland	52	2.8%	Harding	20	5.2%	Stead	34	1.9%
Gerber	78	3.3%	Turkel	18	5.5%	Fantini	27	6.2%	Gerber	36	1.9%	Turkel	20	5.2%	Holland	32	1.8%
Osborne	73	3.1%	Holland	15	4.5%	Stead	12	2.8%	Forster	28	1.5%	Stead	11	2.8%	Forster	28	1.6%
Write-ins	4	0.2%	Write-ins	1	0.3%	Write-ins	0	0.0%	Write-ins	2	0.1%	Write-ins	0	0.0%	Write-ins	1	0.1%
Total	2372	100.0%	Total	330	100.0%	Total	434	100.0%	Total	1851	100.0%	Total	388	100.0%	Total	1771	100.0%

Nolan			Osborne			Stead			Tauber			Turkel		
Tauber	361	17.0%	Nolan	372	18.0%	Harding	64	21.8%	Turkel	442	29.7%	Tauber	563	26.7%
Turkel	344	16.2%	Turkel	315	15.3%	bullets	50	17.1%	Nolan	217	14.6%	Nolan	375	17.8%
McGovern	331	15.6%	Tauber	305	14.8%	Osborne	33	11.3%	McGovern	188	12.6%	bullets	302	14.3%
Osborne	315	14.8%	bullets	291	14.1%	Fantini	31	10.6%	Osborne	181	12.1%	McGovern	266	12.6%
bullets	235	11.1%	Harding	223	10.8%	Tauber	30	10.2%	bullets	176	11.8%	Osborne	206	9.8%
Harding	186	8.7%	McGovern	219	10.6%	Turkel	21	7.2%	Fantini	114	7.7%	Fantini	138	6.5%
Gerber	117	5.5%	Stead	80	3.9%	Forster	20	6.8%	Harding	63	4.2%	Harding	126	6.0%
Fantini	96	4.5%	Fantini	73	3.5%	McGovern	17	5.8%	Gerber	48	3.2%	Gerber	56	2.7%
Forster	57	2.7%	Gerber	71	3.4%	Gerber	11	3.8%	Holland	29	1.9%	Holland	30	1.4%
Holland	52	2.4%	Forster	60	2.9%	Nolan	10	3.4%	Forster	20	1.3%	Stead	25	1.2%
Stead	29	1.4%	Holland	54	2.6%	Holland	5	1.7%	Stead	12	0.8%	Forster	23	1.1%
Write-ins	3	0.1%	Write-ins	2	0.1%	Write-ins	1	0.3%	Write-ins	0	0.0%	Write-ins	2	0.1%
Total	2126	100.0%	Total	2065	100.0%	Total	293	100.0%	Total	1490	100.0%	Total	2112	100.0%

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com

Popularity of elected city councillors in 2011 election based on ballot rankings

Candidate	#1	Order
Cheung	2017	1
Toomey	1705	2
Maher	1686	3
Davis	1436	4
Simmons	1266	5
Decker	1123	6
Kelley	1098	7
vanBeuzekom	1038	8
Reeves	1013	9
Ward	835	10
Seidel	788	11
Nelson	542	12
Marquardt	501	13
Stohlman	344	14
Williamson	175	15
Mello	135	16
Pascual	63	17
Moree	56	18
Write-in	24	19
#1 ranking only		

Candidate	Top 2	Order
Cheung	4113	1
Davis	2919	2
Maher	2915	3
Toomey	2668	4
Simmons	2657	5
Decker	2327	6
Reeves	2031	7
Kelley	1987	8
vanBeuzekom	1946	9
Seidel	1644	10
Ward	1591	11
Nelson	882	12
Marquardt	881	13
Stohlman	695	14
Williamson	318	15
Mello	294	16
Pascual	143	17
Moree	143	18
Write-in	44	19
#1 or #2 ranking		

Candidate	Top 3	Order
Cheung	5719	1
Davis	4311	2
Maher	3880	3
Simmons	3876	4
Decker	3574	5
Toomey	3441	6
Reeves	2994	7
Kelley	2750	8
vanBeuzekom	2678	9
Seidel	2566	10
Ward	2310	11
Nelson	1232	12
Marquardt	1203	13
Stohlman	1082	14
Williamson	472	15
Mello	461	16
Moree	259	17
Pascual	252	18
Write-in	64	19
Ranked #1, #2 or #3		

Candidate	Top 4	Order
Cheung	6813	1
Davis	5380	2
Simmons	4837	3
Maher	4610	4
Decker	4546	5
Toomey	4025	6
Reeves	3756	7
Seidel	3378	8
Kelley	3300	9
vanBeuzekom	3264	10
Ward	2856	11
Nelson	1572	12
Marquardt	1471	13
Stohlman	1427	14
Mello	634	15
Williamson	614	16
Pascual	368	17
Moree	361	18
Write-in	82	19
Ranked #1 to #4		

Candidate	Top 5	Order
Cheung	7542	1
Davis	6157	2
Simmons	5625	3
Maher	5252	4
Decker	5204	5
Toomey	4489	6
Reeves	4358	7
Seidel	4057	8
Kelley	3808	9
vanBeuzekom	3734	10
Ward	3283	11
Nelson	1879	12
Marquardt	1737	13
Stohlman	1699	14
Mello	781	15
Williamson	759	16
Pascual	517	17
Moree	453	18
Write-in	91	19
Ranked in top 5		

Candidate	Top 6	Order
Cheung	8017	1
Davis	6744	2
Simmons	6166	3
Maher	5801	4
Decker	5662	5
Toomey	4849	6
Reeves	4849	7
Seidel	4645	8
Kelley	4221	9
vanBeuzekom	4101	10
Ward	3628	11
Nelson	2119	12
Stohlman	1941	13
Marquardt	1927	14
Mello	928	15
Williamson	878	16
Pascual	633	17
Moree	561	18
Write-in	98	19
Ranked in top 6		

Candidate	Top 9	Order
Cheung	8619	1
Davis	7413	2
Simmons	6990	3
Maher	6675	4
Decker	6356	5
Reeves	5711	6
Toomey	5691	7
Seidel	5622	8
Kelley	5072	9
vanBeuzekom	4763	10
Ward	4344	11
Nelson	2642	12
Stohlman	2481	13
Marquardt	2402	14
Mello	1330	15
Williamson	1214	16
Pascual	957	17
Moree	858	18
Write-in	127	19
Ranked in top 9		

Candidate	Total	Order
Cheung	8872	1
Davis	7680	2
Simmons	7304	3
Maher	7047	4
Decker	6690	5
Toomey	6116	6
Reeves	6113	7
Seidel	6021	8
Kelley	5517	9
vanBeuzekom	5268	10
Ward	4889	11
Nelson	3245	12
Stohlman	3091	13
Marquardt	2984	14
Mello	1940	15
Williamson	1851	16
Pascual	1561	17
Moree	1502	18
Write-in	161	19
Ranked anywhere on ballot		

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com

Popularity of elected School Committee members in 2011 election based on ballot rankings

Candidate	#1	Order
Fantini	2372	1
Nolan	2126	2
Turkel	2112	3
Osborne	2065	4
Harding	1851	5
McGovern	1771	6
Tauber	1490	7
Gerber	434	8
Holland	388	9
Forster	330	10
Stead	293	11
Write-in	58	12
#1 ranking only		

Candidate	Top 2	Order
Turkel	3960	1
Nolan	3847	2
McGovern	3529	3
Tauber	3406	4
Fantini	3342	5
Osborne	3338	6
Harding	3181	7
Gerber	1017	8
Holland	845	9
Stead	785	10
Forster	753	11
Write-in	80	12
#1 or #2 ranking		

Candidate	Top 3	Order
Turkel	5466	1
Nolan	5294	2
Tauber	5009	3
McGovern	4988	4
Osborne	4281	5
Harding	4261	6
Fantini	4119	7
Gerber	1594	8
Holland	1299	9
Stead	1251	10
Forster	1176	11
Write-in	106	12
Ranked #1, #2 or #3		

Candidate	Top 4	Order
Turkel	6372	1
Nolan	6296	2
McGovern	6032	3
Tauber	6006	4
Harding	5063	5
Osborne	4907	6
Fantini	4781	7
Gerber	2075	8
Holland	1663	9
Stead	1558	10
Forster	1539	11
Write-in	124	12
Ranked #1 to #4		

Candidate	Top 5	Order
Turkel	6960	1
Nolan	6874	2
McGovern	6703	3
Tauber	6675	4
Harding	5695	5
Osborne	5338	6
Fantini	5281	7
Gerber	2492	8
Holland	2020	9
Stead	1843	10
Forster	1818	11
Write-in	140	12
Ranked in top 5		

Candidate	Top 6	Order
Turkel	7340	1
Nolan	7214	2
McGovern	7108	3
Tauber	7070	4
Harding	6175	5
Fantini	5717	6
Osborne	5647	7
Gerber	2793	8
Holland	2240	9
Forster	2084	10
Stead	2025	11
Write-in	153	12
Ranked in top 6		

Candidate	Top 9	Order
Turkel	7673	1
Nolan	7520	2
McGovern	7443	3
Tauber	7396	4
Harding	6532	5
Fantini	6177	6
Osborne	6059	7
Gerber	3358	8
Holland	2757	9
Forster	2684	10
Stead	2472	11
Write-in	172	12
Ranked in top 9		

Candidate	Total	Order
Turkel	7761	1
Nolan	7622	2
McGovern	7540	3
Tauber	7488	4
Harding	6648	5
Fantini	6350	6
Osborne	6254	7
Gerber	3566	8
Holland	3004	9
Forster	2905	10
Stead	2785	11
Write-in	180	12
Ranked anywhere on ballot		

Chart by Robert Winters for the Cambridge Civic Journal - www.rwinters.com