<u>City of Cambridge Planning Board</u> <u>improvement goals:</u> <u>Summary of Focus Group Process</u>

- 1. Improve website design
- 2. Improve meeting logistics
- 3. Improve public notification and access to information
- 4. Improve understanding at all phases of the process
- 5. Strengthen CDD role
- 6. Establish an early community engagement process

Suggested implementation timeframe

• Short term: 0 - 6 months

Medium term: 6 - 18 months

• Long term: 18 months - 3 years

1. Improve website design

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	ALL project information and supporting materials should be located in one webpage for each project • As soon as a developer comes in, create an online depository for each project where ALL the supporting materials are provided		
2	Create a separate webpage for each project	All very good suggestions. CDD will work to make the PB webpage more intuitive (e.g., regulations.gov)	Short- to medium- term
3	Make project webpage subscribe-able	Staff will investigate how long it will take to make the suggested changes and resource implications of	High priority Requires
4	Make Planning Board (PB) agenda upload subscribe-able	managing such processes	additional resources
5	Once subscribed, email notifications should be automatically sent out whenever materials are uploaded		
6	On each project page, links to relevant planning documents should be provided		

2-a. Public comments and proponent presentations

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Place a time limit on proponent presentations	 Time limit for proponent presentations in the PB rule is 30 min PB recently started to set the standard at 20 min Need to stay flexible depending on the scale and complexity of projects, and the discretion of the PB 	Improvement in progress
2	Allow neighborhood groups to do a presentation and establish guidelines for that (e.g., require submittal of presentation materials or written comments a certain number of days in advance)	 PB always welcomes neighborhood group presentation CDD will work with the PB and the public to prepare guidelines for public presentations for PB consideration Up to PB discretion 	Short-term High
3	Allow one speaker to talk on behalf of a group and establish guidelines • Give more time • Have the representing person be responsible for knowing project information	 The representative could have more time (e.g., 10 min) Cannot condition opportunities to make a comment Up to PB discretion 	priority Work with PB
4	Allow only one opportunity for comment per project	Up to PB discretion	No changes planned
5	Put a total cap on the public comment period	Up to PB discretion	No changes planned
6	Establish deadline for submission of written comment	 Up to PB discretion CDD will work with the PB and the public to suggest a deadline 	Short- to medium term Medium priority
7	 Allow all parties to clarify factually incorrect comments Use index cards to gather comments Create separate opportunity for informational questions 	 Up to PB discretion Informational questions should be part of public comments as PB looks to the comments for important questions to be answered 	Short-term Medium priority Work with PB to establish standards for practice

2. Improve meeting logistics

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
8	CDD can respond to factually incorrect comments	Requires legal advice	Short-term Medium priority
9	Take public comments for GB items	Up to PB discretion depending on the topic and the availability of time	Work with PB to establish standards for practice
10	Set realistic time limits for agendas	CDD have been working to balance the need for a workable agenda and the need for workable review process within legal timelines	Improvement in progress
11	Require developers to bring specific materials. For example: Physical models Computer models Boards, including plans and elevations Changes in developer's plans should be presented side-byside in slideshows Hard copies of presentations (color preferred) Font size used in proponent presentation should be legible from anywhere in the room Up to date context plan showing the project in context with existing neighborhood including any new and anticipated future development projects	 Up to PB discretion CDD will discuss with the PB in the context of revising application submission requirements 	Short-term Medium priority Work with PB

2. Improve meeting logistics

2-b. Equipment improvement and room layout

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Get a countdown timer • Timer can have two colors of warning light	CDD is investigating the cost and types of timers	
2	Rearrange the room so that the proponents do not have their back to the public • Make sure that everyone in the room can see the visual presentations	CDD, working with the PB, will investigate alternative arrangements and propose a suggestion	Short- to medium-term Medium priority
3	Fix the lighting so that it doesn't shine on the screen	CDD will discuss with City electrician	May require additional resources
4	Install auxiliary screen in a different room	 Additionally, online streaming would help Will look into options for locations considering noise CDD will look into using existing TV screens 	Work with PB
5	Get a new video projector and a podium	Room upgrade is plannedCDD will investigate	
6	Allow the use of iPads instead of laptops for presentations	• Will purchase the cable (Approx. \$40)	
7	Get a laser pointer for the public	Laser pointer will be available upon request	Improvement in progress
8	Use the senior center for PB meeting	CDD is looking into the option of using the senior center as a temporary alternative before the room upgrade	

2. Improve meeting logistics

2-c. PB operations

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Establish early engagement process for zoning petitions	 Zoning petitions are decided by City Council CDD will discuss with the City Council and the Law Department 	Short- to long-term High priority
2	Agenda should carry a Business Not Anticipated section	CDD will discuss with PBRequires legal advice	Short-term Low priority
3	Change the PUD amendment process, which currently requires two public hearings, to require one public hearing for minor amendments	Requires City Council action	Medium-to long-term Medium priority
4	Allow absent PB members to read transcripts and participate on a case	Requires City Council action	Medium-to long-term Medium priority
5	Revise PB Rule 3.4 to require the Chair to review PB agenda <u>before</u> publishing	CDD will discuss with PBUp to PB discretion	Short-term Low priority Work with PB
6	Take public comments for BZA cases	Up to PB discretion; BZA cases are under BZA jurisdiction; PB only provides advice to the BZA	No changes planned
7	Create advisory and voluntary groups of design professionals (e.g., Boston Civic Design Commission)	 Creating an additional design review process would duplicate much of the role of the PB CDD provides design expertise PB may request additional design consultant services as needed 	No changes planned
8	Require comparable life-cycle analysis of major alternative energy systems	The City's Energy Green Building Requirements/Article 22 requires substantial technical analysis	No changes planned

3. Improve public notification and access to information

3-a. Notification methods for PB meetings

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Community bulletin board with a roof and a Plexiglas cover	DPW has responded to the council order requesting the installation of community bulletin boards	Improvement in progress
2	Invitation to participate prepared by the CDD	 CDD proposes to address the notification issue with website improvement first. CDD will review potential changes to the notification panel, PB agenda and its language with PB 	Short- to medium- term
3	Placards with QR codes (both onsite and in key locations)		Medium priority

3-b. Content of the PB agenda

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Post PB agenda in time with sufficient description about what is to be discussed	 PB agenda will generally be posted Tuesdays at noon, the week before the meeting. CDD will review enhancing the level of detail for each item (e.g., CRA agenda vs. PB agenda on Volpe discussion) 	Improvement in progress
2	Include tentative schedules for the upcoming PB agenda even if listed as tentative	 Publishing tentative meeting schedule is not recommended as it would likely create confusion Video streaming will allow for real time viewing of the PB meeting and at a later date for those who cannot attend 	No changes planned
3	Agenda and notice must specify whether public comment is expected, or PB is planning to deliberate, or both	 CDD will look to specify when possible. Not always feasible as the Board sometimes decides at the meeting Up to PB discretion 	Improvement in progress
4	PB agenda should include contents of General Business (GB) items and BZA cases	CDD will work with the PB to consider this suggestion	Medium-term Medium priority

3. Improve public notification and access to information

3-c. Access to supporting materials

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Information and supporting materials should be available online as soon as possible	 CDD goal is to have materials 2 weeks prior to the first scheduled hearing CDD goal is to schedule subsequent hearings when all the requested materials are submitted subject to legal requirements Once submitted, CDD will do its best to upload the materials as soon as possible CDD will try to post the pre-application materials prepared for the early community engagement meetings 	Improvement in progress
2	Supporting materials should include a date of posting	 CDD is already working towards this matter When making web improvements, will attempt to automatically stamp the uploaded date 	Short-term Medium priority
3	CDD/TPT memos should be available online	CDD goal is to post the memos <u>one week</u> <u>prior</u> to the meetings	Improvement in progress
4	Make proponent presentations available online in advance of the meeting	 Making presentations available before the meeting would be challenging as the proponents often make last minute changes However, CDD will investigate ways to have the presentation accessible real- time or right before the meeting 	Short-term Medium priority
5	Supporting materials for General Business items should also be made available	 CDD will review best practices Will be considered as part of the website improvement process 	Medium-term Medium priority

4. Improve understanding at all phases of the process

4-a. Public records of PB meetings

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Make transcripts available earlier	CDD will work with the contract company to see if the process can be expedited	Improvement in progress
2	Post CDD summaries of PB meetings online	CDD proposes to address the desire to review PB meetings earlier with the live video streaming option first	See below
3	Video streaming • Integrate proponent presentation	CDD will investigate video streaming options	Short- to medium-term High priority May require additional resources
4	PB summarize at the end of each project discussion/ hearing to clarify the key points and future directions	 Up to PB discretion CDD will discuss with the PB Hope that some of the actions taken by the series of initiatives proposed through this process (e.g., early meeting requirements, CDD memo, putting time limit on proponent presentation) would open-up more time for summary discussions 	Short- to long- term Medium priority Work with PB to establish standards for practice
5	Make written comments available to the public • Post submitted written comments on the web (e.g., regulations.gov)	Posting submitted written comments will be further investigated	Medium- to long-term Medium priority
6	 Make sure that public comments are heard and considered: Note what the PB heard from the public and note the PB responses Produce a summary of the quantity of public comments and the frequency of positions 	PB takes into consideration all the public testimony and written comments, which then serve to inform their questions, comments, and decisions	No changes planned

4. Improve understanding at all phases of the process

4-b. Process clarification

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1		 CDD will work with the PB to develop a table of contents layout of the entire process in an understandable manner including a list of 	Short- to medium- term
	Create PB handbook Clarify the role of the	required application materials o explain the role of the PB and its jurisdiction, and how SP criteria are	High priority
	PB and their mandate	appliedPB – CDD relationship and their	Work with PB
		 interaction role of the different city departments Once the content for the handbook is created, also create an FAQ section in the PB webpage 	May require additional resources
	Inform public about CDD-developer meetings	Could be included as a summary in the CDD	Short-term
2		memo (e.g., key issues that were discussed, CDD suggestions, proponent response, etc)	Medium- priority
3	Circulate the draft decision to the PB and the public before finalizing the decision	 Up to PB discretion PB may ask to review drafts at a public meeting; most commonly, this occurs on unusually complex and large decisions Draft decisions should not be circulated prior to the meeting or be commented on by the public 	No changes planned
4	 SP decisions should include: Criteria for triggering design review Construction impact mitigation requirements 	 Up to PB discretion CDD will work with the PB to consider this 	Medium- term
		suggestion	Medium priority

4-c. Monitoring once a project has been approved

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Project website could provide information about the <u>status</u> after its approval	Under ISD jurisdiction; CDD will work with ISD to evaluate	Medium- to long-term
2	Ensure that buildings are being constructed consistent to the approved plans	Under ISD jurisdiction; CDD will work with ISD to evaluate	Medium- priority Work with
	approved plans		ISD

4. Improve understanding at all phases of the process

4-d. Miscellaneous items

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	PB training materials should be made public and a proper record of materials should be kept by CDD, and relevant customization should be applied to training	 Requires legal advice City staff will take this suggestion under consideration 	Long-term Low priority
2	 Information about projects that do not fall under the purview of the PB should also be available Provide a list of addresses that had building permits requested Require on-site posters at the application stage 	Under ISD jurisdiction; CDD will work with ISD to evaluate	Long-term Low priority Work with ISD
3	When a PB member has recused themselves, the Chair or the member should state that he or she has recused	The recusal will be publicly noted for the record	Short-term Low priority

CDD's role

Many FG participants urged CDD to play a more robust role throughout the process. CDD is and has been evaluating its role and making some changes accordingly (e.g., staff memo, enforcing application deadlines). CDD will further identify areas of improvement and redefine its role in response to the following suggestions.

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
1	Establish more time for review before the application submission	CDD will clarify the time required before the filing of an application (e.g., Traffic Impact Study process)	Improvement in progress
2	Increase the level of professional advice given to the PB, while leaving room for judgment	Recent CDD memo includes staff discussion of criteria and guidelines as well as technical zoning requirements	Improvement in progress
			Medium- to Long-term
		 CDD may request <u>external</u> <u>expertise</u> as needed Additional staffing will require 	Medium priority
		budget considerations	Requires additional resources
3	Work with the proponent/neighbors before a project comes to the PB, while making sure that projects are not set in stone once they get to the PB	CDD hope to achieve this goal	Short-term
		through developing an early engagement process	High priority
		engagement process	Work with PB
4	Present CDD/TPT comments at the beginning of a hearing to set the planning context	 Have done so in the past (e.g., North Point, Concord Alewife), which the Board and the public 	Short-term
		found helpful. Will make the presentation material available online	Medium- priority
		 CDD will look to refer to the staff presentations more regularly (e.g., Assistant Manager or PB Chair could refer to the CDD presentation) Up to PB discretion 	Work with PB to establish standards for practice

5. Strengthen CDD role

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
5	Create a neighborhood liaison position who will also perform as Project Manager (Special Permit Coordinator)	 Neighborhood Planners have been and will continue to act as neighborhood liaisons including acting as a point of contact for Special Permits CDD will evaluate current resources and suggested staff responsibilities 	Short- to medium-term Medium priority
6	 CDD should work with the PB to: make sure that all the members are aware of the context of a project assist the Board in anticipating the major issues/challenges of a project schedule additional training sessions with the PB 	 CDD memo/presentations are expected to resolve these concerns CDD will seek to identify opportunities to schedule additional training sessions with the PB CDD will also seek to identify topics appropriate for more extensive discussion which may include bringing in external experts (e.g., retail consultant). Need to look into scheduling time on the PB agenda or schedule separate sessions 	Short- to medium- term High priority Work with PB
7	CDD should work with the PB to: • revisit existing city plans periodically and assess the development trajectories	CDD will further investigate to assess the amount of staff time and resources needed to identify how often and in what format such assessments could be conducted	Medium- to long-term Medium priority Work with PB
8	CDD should work with the PB to: • schedule regular sessions at the PB meeting reserved for the discussion of broader planning issues	CDD will work with the PB to identify appropriate time for such discussion	Short- to medium-term Medium priority Work with PB

5. Strengthen CDD role

	Suggestion	Discussion/Recommendation	Action
9	 CDD should work with the PB and the public to identify opportunities for hosting (separate from PB meetings): informational sessions for neighborhood groups on PB process informational sessions for major projects sessions to discuss the city's long-term planning goals and specific issues such as traffic and housing 	 CDD will incorporate these sessions into the citywide planning process CDD will also investigate having regular intervals for such educational sessions (e.g., every six months), which could include external expert assistance 	Medium- to long-term High priority Work with PB Requires additional resources
10	CDD should work with the PB and the public to revisit the SP criteria	Any changes to the criteria will require additional analysis and planning work by city staff and PB with consultant input for City Council consideration	Medium- to long-term High priority Work with PB and Council
11	 Enforce deadlines for material submissions A second PB meeting should not be held unless all the supporting materials have been uploaded on the website 	 CDD goal is to have materials 2 weeks prior to the first scheduled hearing CDD goal is to schedule subsequent hearings when all the requested materials are submitted subject to legal requirements Recently started to further tighten the deadline. CDD will continue to work on establishing a consistent level of expectation. Requires legal advice 	Improvement in progress
12	Review SP application material submission requirements. E.g.: • summaries of changes to a project (e.g., revision history) • site analysis and site photos • footprints of existing and new buildings • all items should be electronic documents	CDD will review	Short-term Medium priority Work with PB

6. Establish an early community engagement process

a. Short-term action (Short-term / High priority)

CDD will work with the PB as they consider establishing rules requiring an early community engagement process.

- Sample language: Developers must host at least one public meeting prior to the submission of the application, noticed in advance as suggested below. Follow-up meetings are recommended as needed.
 - Developers must submit a summary of what they've heard and how they responded to the major concerns. (Written summary by the neighborhood groups are appreciated and welcomed.)
 - A summary of a community meeting must be included as part of the application material in order for the application to be considered complete.

Establish guidelines for early community engagement:

- Notification
 - o How?
 - e.g., Posting, mailing, postcards or flyers slipped under doors
 - Who should the developers be advised to be reaching out to?
 - Abutters, Existing community groups, CDD who else?
 - o When?
 - e.g., two weeks in advance
 - O Where?
 - e.g., public schools, in close proximity to a proposed project
- Are there particular requirements for presentation materials?
 - o e.g., Site analysis, tentative program mix, schematic design options, etc.
- Should the presentation materials be available online? Where?
- Require meeting with the CDD before going out to the community?
- * Most FG participants suggested that CDD should be involved in the early engagement process to create a neutral environment for discussion and to hear major concerns from the public. However, due to staff and resource constraints, this suggestion will be considered as part of the long-term investigation process.

b. Identify priorities for longer term investigation (Shortto long-term / High priority)

CDD is investigating the pros and cons of the different types of community engagement forms, as listed below. We are looking at the best practices of other communities around the region and across the country.

Possible permutations of early community engagement formats

1 ossible permutations of early community engagement formats						
		Developer-organized meetings			CDD-organized meetings	
		A	В	C	D	
Types of community representation		CDD attendance NOT required – Developer provides a summary	CDD attendance required – Developer provides a summary	CDD attendance required – CDD provides a summary	CDD attendance required – CDD provides a summary	
1	Project-based committees (appointed per project)				Boston Impact Advisory Group (IAG) model	
2	Appointed area- based committees			Central Square Advisory Committee model	Seattle Design Review Board model	
3	Elected area-based committees				Boston Neighborhood Council model	
4	Existing neighborhood groups	Approximate to current practices at the existing neighborhood groups (e.g., ECPT, NCSC, MCNA)				
5	Identified by the developer with CDD guidance	Approximate to the proposed short-term action (pg. 15)				

Further questions for longer term investigation

How are committees formed?

6. Establish an early community engagement process

- Who appoints them?
- What is an appropriate mix of representation?
 - Abutters, neighborhood groups, representatives of city-wide interests, business owners

How should the community be reached out to? Options for different methods of notification

When?

- At the scoping phase
- At the schematic design phase
- At the design development phase

Material submission requirements?

- Require developers to submit a Letter of Intent (e.g., Boston) that would initiate the pre-application process?
- Require developers to submit schematic design options at the first meeting (e.g., Early Design Guidance meeting, Seattle)
- No specific required materials

How many meetings?

- At least one pre-application
- Require one meeting during the PB review procedure?

Aside from the community meetings, are there other creative ways to get a wider range of community members involved? (e.g., using technology)